Case DS94-01DIVG

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PATENT APPLICATION: INVENTORS: Joel M. Gould et al. SERIAL NO.: 08/882,813 TITLE: Word Recognition System Using Language Context At Current Cursor Position To Affect Recognition Probabilities (As Amended) FILING DATE: June 26, 1997 GROUP ART UNIT: 2741 EXAMINER: V. Chawan

BATCH NO.: H87

September 17, 1998

I, Edward W. Porter, hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as $\frac{1}{2}$ first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner of Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231

Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

LETTER TO THE OFFICIAL DRAFTSPERSON

Dear Sir:

Enclosed is formal copy of the following figures in the above identified application (with the figures occurring on the same sheet being listed on the same line):

> -FIG. 4 -FIG. 5A -FIGS. 5B and 6A -FIGS. 6B and 7 -FIGS. 8, 9, and 10A -FIGS. 10B, 11, 12, and 13 -FIGS. 14, 15, 16, and 17 -FIG. 18 -FIGS. 19, 20, and 21A

-FIG. 21B -FIG. 22 -FIG. 23 -FIG. 28 -FIG. 30A -FIG. 30B -FIG. 30C -FIG. 30D -FIG. 30E -FIG. 31A -FIG. 31B -FIG. 31C -FIG. 31D -FIG. 32 -FIGS. 47 and 48 -FIG. 49 -FIGS. 50, 51 and 52 -FIG. 53 -FIG. 54A -FIG. 54B -FIG. 55 -FIG. 55A -FIG. 56 -FIG. 60 -FIG. 61 -FIG. 64 -FIG. 65 -FIG. 67 -FIG. 68 -FIG. 69 -FIG. 70A -FIGS. 70B and 71 -FIG. 72 and 73 -FIG. 74 -FIG. 75 -FIG. 76A -FIGS. 76B and 77 -FIG. 78 -FIGS. 79 and 80 -FIG. 81 -FIGS. 82 and 85 -FIGS. 85A, 87, and 88

ه رم ا

These drawing should correct all of the problems which were objected to by the Chief Draftsperson, Ms. Bridget Gray, in a phone call with the undersigned attorney on July 14, 1998.

In addition, almost all of the figures which were comprised exclusively of text have been redrawn in a common formatting to comply with the new margin requirements, so as to make the figures appear more uniform. This was done for figures

even if they had not been objected to in the July 14, 1998 phone call with the Chief Draftsperson.

Also, FIG. 32 has been resubmitted in formal form. This has been done because the only copy of FIG. 32 in the undersigned's possession improperly has the numerals "FIG. 33" written on it in addition to "FIG. 32" as a result of improper photocopying. In the newly submitted copy of FIG. 32, this error has been removed.

The telephone call of July 14, 1998, with Ms. Gray was occasioned by objections to the drawings in application 08/883,297, a sibling divisional application of the current application. In that telephone call the undersigned attorney pointed out that the 08/883,297 application was one of nine divisional applications which all had the same parent application, all had the same drawings, and all had received objections to their drawings. Unfortunately different applications had received different objections to the same drawings. I requested that one set of corrections be allowed for all of these nine applications and for the FWC of the parent application filed. Ms. Gray agreed and stated that the corrections she approved for application 08/883,297 would be appropriate for all ten of these related applications. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the enclosed drawings, which are copies of the drawings Ms. Gray has approved of in the 08/883,297 application, be allowed in this related application.

If there are any questions or problems concerning these drawings, please call the undersigned at the number indicated below.

Respectfully Submitted,

Edward W. Porter

Registration No.28,892 Porter & Associates One Kendall Square

Suite 2200

Cambridge, Mass. 02139

(617) 494-1722